


PFIZER'S BNT162B2 COVID-19 VACCINE

(A CLOSER LOOK)



The screenshot shows a web browser window with the address bar displaying cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/vaccines/your-vaccination.html. The page header includes the CDC logo and the text "Centers for Disease Control and Prevention" and "CDC 24/7: Saving Lives, Protecting People™". A dark blue navigation bar contains the text "COVID-19" and a search icon. Below this, the main heading "Your COVID-19 Vaccination" is displayed, followed by the text "Updated May 13, 2021" and links for "Languages" and "Print". The main content area features a light blue background with the heading "Your COVID-19 Vaccine" and a bulleted list. The first bullet point, "COVID-19 vaccines are safe and effective," is circled in orange. The other bullet points are: "Everyone 12 years of age and older is now eligible to [get a COVID-19 vaccination](#)," "Learn about the [different vaccines available](#)," and "People who have been [fully vaccinated](#) can start to do some". To the right of the text is an illustration of a COVID-19 vaccine vial and a circular sticker that says "I GOT MY COVID-19 VACCINE!" with a bandage icon.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
CDC 24/7: Saving Lives, Protecting People™

COVID-19

Your COVID-19 Vaccination

Updated May 13, 2021 Languages Print

Your COVID-19 Vaccine

- COVID-19 vaccines are safe and effective.
- Everyone 12 years of age and older is now eligible to [get a COVID-19 vaccination](#).
- Learn about the [different vaccines available](#).
- People who have been [fully vaccinated](#) can start to do some

PFIZER'S BNT162B2 COVID-19 VACCINE – A CLOSER LOOK

Foreword -

It's understandable that so many professionals remain confident the COVID vaccines are safe.

The sources most rely on for information: CDC, studies, seminars, medical associations, Google searches, the media all say it's “safe and effective”. Those who disagree are labeled disinformationists to be ignored.

But the media, studies, and federal agencies are beholden to pharma. Google controls which links you see, government agencies censor data through social platforms, and most seminars are sponsored by the same drug companies pushing the “safe and effective” narrative. Doctors opposing the vaccines are discredited, face job and financial losses, and remain silent.

So, the single “safe and effective” view prevails.

The following pages take a closer look at studies showing that view is distorted.

PFIZER'S BNT162B2 COVID-19 VACCINE

PFIZER's mRNA TRIAL [ENDPOINTS](#)¹ – 95% DOES NOT MEAN WHAT MOST THINK -

“None of the vaccine trials are designed to detect a significant **reduction in hospital admissions, admission to intensive care, or death**,” nor any of “**the most clinically relevant questions**.” [Peter Doshi](#)², Assoc. Editor BMJ

WHAT DID THE TRIAL MEASURE?

“Pfizer statistically proved that you could be 95% confident that you would have less than a 1% chance of developing COVID-19 symptoms confirmed by a positive PCR-test for up to two months, **whether you were injected with Pfizer's mRNA or did nothing**.” ([Karen Kingston](#)³ 25+ Years exp. as a Biotech Analyst and Med-legal advisor) ([Table 6](#))

Table 6. Final Analysis of Efficacy of BNT162b2 Against Confirmed COVID-19 From 7 Days After Dose 2 in Participants Without Evidence of Prior SARS-CoV-2 Infection, Evaluable Efficacy Population

Pre-specified Age Group	BNT162b2 N ^a = 18198 Cases n1 ^b	Placebo N ^a = 18325 Cases n1 ^b	Vaccine Efficacy % (95% CI)	Met Predefined Success Criterion*
	Surveillance Time ^c (n2 ^d)	Surveillance Time ^c (n2 ^d)		
All participants	8 2.214 (17411)	162 2.222 (17511)	95.0 (90.3, 97.6) ^e	Yes
16 to 55 years	5 1.234 (9897)	114 1.239 (9955)	95.6 (89.4, 98.6) ^f	NA
>55 years	3 0.980 (7500)	48 0.983 (7543)	93.7 (80.6, 98.8) ^f	NA

*Success criterion: the posterior probability that true vaccine efficacy > 30% conditioning on the available data is >99.5% at the final analysis

^a N = number of participants in the specified group.

^b n1 = Number of participants meeting the endpoint definition.

^c Total surveillance time in 1000 person-years for the given endpoint across all participants within each group at risk for the endpoint. Time period for COVID-19 case accrual is from 7 days after Dose 2 to the end of the surveillance period.

^d n2 = Number of participants at risk for the endpoint.

^e Credible interval for VE was calculated using a beta-binomial model with prior beta (0.700102, 1) adjusted for surveillance time.

^f Confidence interval (CI) for VE is derived based on the Clopper and Pearson method adjusted to the surveillance time.

Pfizer only [counted](#)⁵ cases beginning 7 days after the 2nd dose totaling 28 days into the trial. This tilted results in Pfizer's favor by eliminating untold numbers of participants who were vaccinated and still got infected. Effects on transmission, pregnant women, re-infection, natural immunity, nor adverse events were studied. CDC misled the public with unproven advice.

Though only mildly effective, in broad use, every recipient faced the potential of its adverse effects.

AUTOPSIES -

- The first [autopsy](#)⁶ of a covid vaccinated patient found every body organ infested with spike protein.
- A [histopathologic analysis](#)⁷ of the organs of 15 people who died post-vaccine show 14 of the 15 died from the vaccine. Conventional post-mortems uncovered no obvious hints to a possible role of vaccination. This is their [procedure](#)⁸ for the analysis.



- [A German autopsy study](#)⁹ evaluated 25 people who died unexpectedly within 20 days of being vaccinated. Four of the “autopsies indicated that deaths were due to cardiac failure, and that myocarditis could be a potentially lethal complication following mRNA-based anti-SARS-CoV-2 vaccination.”



COVID-19 VACCINE SPIKE PROTEIN REMAINS ACTIVE IN THE BODY -

- Contrary to what patients and doctors were told, 320 peer-reviewed [studies](#)¹⁰ show the Covid-19 vax spike protein is highly pathogenic on its own
- Yale University researchers detected the spike protein from the COVID-19 vaccine in the blood of at least one person [709 days after vaccination](#)¹¹ — a significantly longer time than previously measured.



COVID-19 VACCINE LINKS TO CANCERS -

- Public health agencies told Americans it was “misinformation” to suggest the COVID-19 mRNA injections could alter human DNA. There is now direct molecular [evidence](#)¹² that genetic material from a COVID-19 mRNA “vaccine” has [integrated](#)¹³ into the human genome.
- Comprehensive literature [review](#)¹⁴ reveals how mRNA injections may induce, accelerate, or reactivate cancer through 17 distinct pathways. (Here is an [English](#)¹⁵ breakdown of the finding.)
- This 85-year-old woman with breast cancer in remission [developed](#)¹⁶ an aggressive metastatic recurrence to the skin within one month of her sixth COVID-19 mRNA injection
- First peer-reviewed [study](#)¹⁷ finds direct molecular evidence of mRNA vaccine genomic integration



GLOBAL MORTALITY INDICATES COVID-19 VAX AE's NOT RARE -

- 8.4 Million Person [Study](#)¹⁸ concludes, “COVID-19 vaccination could be associated with an increased risk of six specific cancer types, including thyroid, gastric, colorectal, lung, breast, and prostate cancers.”
- [Italian Study](#)¹⁹: COVID-19 Vaccination, All-cause Mortality, and Hospitalization for Cancer: 30-month cohort study in an Italian province shows increases in lung, prostate, thyroid, gastric, colorectal, and breast cancers. ([Overview](#)²⁰ of the Italian Study)





- [Korean study](#)²¹ shows large cancer increases among COVID-19 vaccinated population



- Covid-19 Vaccine-Associated [Mortality](#)²² In The Southern Hemisphere study shows the rise in all-cause mortality (ACM) coinciding with the rollout and sustained administration of COVID-19 vaccines in all 17 countries researched.




ANALYSIS OF THE CLAIM “COVID-19 VACCINE SAVED MILLIONS OF LIVES” -

- This claim is based on computer [models](#)²³ using a long sequence of faulty, unvalidated, and false assumptions.
- 2nd [analysis](#)²⁴ shows: Assumptions stacked on assumptions (fixed infection fatality rates, no waning, vaccines stop spread), Counterfactual fantasy: (“what would have happened without vaccines” projected with inflated baselines), Harms excluded: (no deaths or adverse events from vaccination were ever considered.) [Overview](#)²⁵ of the analysis.



THE HIGH NUMBER OF SHOTS DOES NOT EXPLAIN THE HIGH ADVERSE EVENT VOLUME -

- The [death rate](#)²⁶ per million doses for COVID is 35.6 versus 0.3 for influenza. That’s 119 times higher. The chart below analyzes the distribution data for 32 vaccines V. COVID-19



IN CLOSING -

There are thousands of quality studies pointing to the dangers of the mRNA vax. So much troubling data leaves no choice but to pause the mass use of mRNA platform until thorough studies and autopsies can be performed and released to the public.

My hope is this summary encourages you to look past the censors, biased 'fact-checkers', news media, and medical associations to discover more. Below are some valuable resources. (Don't be surprised if many have been maligned. That's how a single view prevails. Judge for yourself.)

Experts don't censor lies; they disprove them. Miscreants censor truth to allow lies to prevail.

INFORMATION SOURCES -

- The Epoch Times – <https://www.theepochtimes.com>
- Just The News – <https://justthenews.com>
- Brownstone Institute - <https://brownstone.org>
- Focal Points - <https://www.thefocalpoints.com>
- Karen Kingston - <https://www.thekingstonreport.com>
- Jessica Rose - <https://jessicar.substack.com>

SOURCE LINKS -

1. <https://brownstone.org/articles/clinical-trials-did-not-show-covid-shots-were-safe-and-effective/>
2. <https://www.bmj.com/content/371/bmj.m4058.full>
3. <https://www.thekingstonreport.com/p/the-3-year-anniversary-of-pfizers>
4. <https://www.fda.gov/media/144416/download>
5. <https://www.nejm.org/doi/10.1056/NEJMoa2034577>
6. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8051011/>
7. <https://doctors4covidethics.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/end-covax.pdf>
8. <https://www.skirsch.com/covid/Burkhardt.pdf>
9. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00392-022-02129-5>
10. <https://zenodo.org/records/14559644>
11. <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2025.02.18.25322379v1>
12. <https://zenodo.org/records/17122912>
13. <https://www.thefocalpoints.com/p/breaking-study-first-direct-evidence>
14. https://lepointcritique.fr/2025/06/19/vaccins-arnm-anti-covid-peuvent-induire-cancer-de-17-manieres-distinctes-selon-plus-de-100-etudes/#_edn69
15. <https://www.thefocalpoints.com/p/17-ways-mrna-shots-may-cause-cancer>
16. <https://www.thefocalpoints.com/p/breaking-metastatic-breast-cancer>
17. <https://www.thefocalpoints.com/p/breaking-first-peer-reviewed-study-715>
18. <https://jonfleetwood.substack.com/p/84-million-person-study-shows-covid>
19. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/40881928/>
20. <https://www.thefocalpoints.com/p/breaking-second-massive-population>
21. <https://biomarkerres.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s40364-025-00831-w>
22. <https://correlation-canada.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/09/2023-09-17-Correlation-Covid-vaccine-mortality-Southern-Hemisphere-cor.pdf>
23. <https://brownstone.org/articles/did-covid-vaccines-really-save-millions/>
24. <https://cdn.fortunejournals.com/articles/a-step-by-step-evaluation-of-the-claim-that-covid-19-vaccines-saved-millions-of-lives-6254.pdf>
25. <https://www.thefocalpoints.com/p/new-study-obliterates-the-millions>
26. <https://jessicar.substack.com/p/show-this-to-people-who-use-the-its>